Received 4 January 2005 Accepted 5 January 2005

Online 15 January 2005

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Wei-Wei Liu,^{a,b} Yi-Zhi Li,^b Ling-Dong Kong^c and Hong-Wen Hu^b*

^aDepartment of Chemical Engineering, Huaihai Institute of Technology, Lianyungang 222005, People's Republic of China, ^bCoordination Chemistry Institute, State Key Laboratory of Coordination Chemistry, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093, People's Republic of China, and ^cSchool of Life Science, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093, People's Republic of China

Correspondence e-mail: llyyjz@nju.edu.cn

Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 293 K Mean σ (C–C) = 0.004 Å R factor = 0.057 wR factor = 0.108 Data-to-parameter ratio = 15.6

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e. The title compound, $C_{12}H_{13}NO_2S$, was synthesized from a mixture of morpholine, acetophenone and elemental sulfur dissolved in pyridine. In the crystal structure, the molecules interact through weak intermolecular $C-H\cdots O/S$ interactions, resulting in a three-dimensional network.

4-(Benzoylthiocarbonyl)morpholine

Comment

Thioamides have higher chemical activities than their corresponding amides in many chemical reactions as a result of the electronegativity of the S atom. Moreover, they can be synthesized and purified easily (Sosnicki *et al.*, 2001), which is an important consideration for industrial applications. Recently, thioamides have been used as fungistatic reagents (Matysiak *et al.*, 2000) and chain terminators in Sanger–DNA sequencing reactions (Schwarzer *et al.*, 2001). The Willgerodt– Kindler reaction is a more convenient procedure for preparing thioamides than other routes (Kawai *et al.*, 1999).



We report here the result of the reaction between morpholine, acetophenone and elemental sulfur, which led to the title compound, (I) (Fig. 1). The geometric parameters for (I) (Table 1) are normal.

There are five weak intermolecular $C-H\cdots O/S$ interactions in the crystal structure (Table 2), as shown in Fig. 2, which connect molecules, resulting in a three-dimensional network (Fig. 3).



© 2005 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved Figure 1 The molecular str

The molecular structure of (I), showing 50% probability displacement ellipsoids (H atoms are shown as spheres of arbitrary radii).



Figure 2

Weak intermolecular interactions (dashed lines) in (I) [symmetry codes: (i) $x, -\frac{1}{2} - y, \frac{1}{2} + z$; (ii) 1 - x, -y, -z; (iii) $x, \frac{1}{2} - y, \frac{1}{2} + z$; (iv) $1 - x, \frac{1}{2} + y, \frac{1}{2} - z$; (v) 1 - x, 1 - y, -z]. H atoms not involved in the C-H···O/S bonds have been omitted for clarity.



Figure 3

The three-dimensional structure of (I). Dashed lines indicate the weak intermolecular $C-H\cdots O/S$ interactions. H atoms not involved in the $C-H\cdots O/S$ bonds have been omitted for clarity.

Experimental

A mixture of elemental sulfur (2.6 g, 0.08 mol), morpholine (6.1 ml, 0.07 mol) and acetophenone (6 g, 0.05 mol) in anhydrous pyridine (30 ml) was refluxed with stirring until the acetophenone had almost disappeared (monitored by thin-layer chromatography). To the cooled mixture was added iced water, and then the organic phase was extracted with toluene. The solvent was removed *in vacuo* and the title compound was separated on a column of silica gel with petro-leum ether/ethyl acetate as eluants. Yellow crystals of (I) suitable for X-ray structure analysis were obtained by recrystallizing the crude product from acetone.

Crystal data

$C_{12}H_{13}NO_2S$
$M_r = 235.29$
Aonoclinic, $P2_1/c$
u = 10.715 (2) Å
p = 9.200 (1) Å
= 11.692 (2) Å
$B = 92.02 \ (4)^{\circ}$
V = 1151.8 (3) Å ³
Z = 4

Data collection

Bruker SMART APEX CCD diffractometer φ and ω scans Absorption correction: multi-scan (*SADABS*; Bruker, 2000) $T_{\min} = 0.94$, $T_{\max} = 0.95$ 6029 measured reflections

Refinement

Refinement on F^2	H-atom parameters constrained		
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.057$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.04P)^2]$		
$wR(F^2) = 0.108$	where $P = (F_0^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$		
S = 1.10	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$		
2264 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.32 \text{ e} \text{ \AA}^{-3}$		
145 parameters	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.26 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$		

 $D_x = 1.357 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo *K* α radiation

 $\theta = 2.8-18.8^{\circ}$ $\mu = 0.27 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 293 (2) KBlock, yellow $0.30 \times 0.20 \times 0.20 \text{ mm}$

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.044$

 $\theta_{\rm max} = 26.0^{\circ}$

 $\begin{array}{l} h = -13 \rightarrow 10 \\ k = -11 \rightarrow 11 \end{array}$

 $l = -14 \rightarrow 13$

Cell parameters from 551 reflections

2264 independent reflections 1578 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$

Table 1

Selected torsion angles (°).

O2-C7-C8-N1	-83.7 (3)	S1-C8-N1-C9	-1.5(4)
C1-C7-C8-N1	99.0 (3)	C7-C8-N1-C12	-7.1(4)
O2-C7-C8-S1	93.9 (2)	S1-C8-N1-C12	175.67 (19)
C1-C7-C8-S1	-83.4(2)		

Table 2	
Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).	

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - H \cdots A$
$C3-H3\cdots S1^{i}$	0.93	2.90	3.781 (3)	159
$C12-H12a\cdots O2^{ii}$	0.97	2.59	3.401 (3)	141
C11−H11a···S1 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.97	2.97	3.890 (3)	159
$C11 - H11b \cdots O2^{iv}$	0.97	2.54	3.500 (3)	170
$C9-H9b\cdots O1^{v}$	0.97	2.57	3.467 (3)	154

Symmetry codes: (i) $x, -\frac{1}{2} - y, \frac{1}{2} + z$; (ii) 1 - x, -y, -z; (iii) $x, \frac{1}{2} - y, \frac{1}{2} + z$; (iv) $1 - x, \frac{1}{2} + y, \frac{1}{2} - z$; (v) 1 - x, 1 - y, -z.

All H atoms were placed in calculated positions, with C–H distances 0.93–0.97 Å, and included in the refinement as riding, with $U_{\rm iso}({\rm H}) = 1.2U_{\rm eq}$ (carrier atom).

Data collection: *SMART* (Bruker, 2000); cell refinement: *SMART*; data reduction: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2000); program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXTL* (Bruker, 2000); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXTL*; molecular graphics: *SHELXTL*; software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXTL*.

This work was supported by the Education Department, Natural Science Foundation of Jiangsu Province, People's Republic of China (grant No. 03 KJB150009).

References

Bruker (2000). SMART (Version 5.625), SAINT (Version 6.01), SHELXTL (Version 6.10) and SADABS (Version 2.03). Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.

- Kawai, Y., Kanbara, T. & Hasegawa, K. (1999). J. Polym. Sci. Polym. Chem. 37, 1737–1740.
- Matysiak, J., Niewiadomy, A., Macik-Niewiadomy, G. & Kornillowicz, T. (2000). Eur. J. Med. Chem. 35, 393-404.
- Schwarzer, K., Wojczewski, C. & Engels, J. W. (2001). Nucleosides Nucleotides Nucleic Acids, 20, 879–882.
- Sosnicki, J. G., Jagodzinski, T. S. & Hansen, P. E. (2001). *Tetrahedron*, **57**, 8705–8718.